	Case 2:23-cv-00662-DAD-AC Docume	nt 30 Filed 09/16/24 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	FRANKIE WEISNER,	No. 2:23-cv-00662-DAD-AC (HC)
12	Petitioner,	
13	v.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS AND DENYING
14	KATHLEEN ALLISON,	RESPONDENT'S MOTION TO DISMISS
15	Respondent.	(Doc. Nos. 14, 29)
16		
17	Petitioner Frankie Weisner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis	
18	with a petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred	
19	to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	On August 19, 2024, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations	
21	recommending that respondent's motion to dismiss the petition (Doc. No. 14) be denied. (Doc.	
22	No. 29 at 9.) Those findings and recommendations were served on the parties and contained	
23	notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within fourteen (14) days from the date of	
24	service. ( <i>Id.</i> at 10.) To date, no objections to the pending findings and recommendations have	
25	been filed, and the time in which to do so has now passed.	
26	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a	
27	de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court concludes that the	
28	findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis.  1	
		•

## Case 2:23-cv-00662-DAD-AC Document 30 Filed 09/16/24 Page 2 of 2 Accordingly, 1. The findings and recommendations issued on August 19, 2024 (Doc. No. 29) are adopted in full; 2. Respondent's motion to dismiss the petition (Doc. No. 14) is denied; and 3. This action is referred back to the assigned magistrate judge for further proceedings. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: **September 16, 2024** UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE